



#21/10

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

BIRNSTIEL *et al.*

Appl. No.: 08/380,200

Filed: January 30, 1995

For: **New Protein-Polycation Conjugates**

Art Unit: 1816

Examiner: F. Eisenschenk

Atty Docket: 0652.1080001/RWE/LBB

Response and Amendment Under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.111 and 1.115

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, DC 20231

Sir:

In response to the Office Action mailed February 28, 1996, a request for a three-month extension of time and the requisite fee of \$900 being filed herewith, Applicants request that the rejections be reconsidered in light of the following remarks.

Remarks

I. Status of the claims

The active claims in this application are 1-20, 28-29, 32-34 and 36-40.

II. Obviousness-type double patenting

In the Office Action dated February 28, 1996, at paragraph 16, the Examiner maintained the provisional rejection of claims 1-20, 28-29, 32-34 and 36-40 under the judicially-created